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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 9 February 2001 refusing the European patent application No. 97 912 704.0. The Appellant filed a notice of appeal by letter received on 7 April 2001 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication dated 8 November 2001 and sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that no Statement of Grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

P. Martorana E. Turrini