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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division posted 22 January 2002 refusing the European application No. 96902815.8. The applicants filed a notice of appeal by letter dated 21 March 2002, received on 21 March 2002, and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No statement of grounds was filed.

II. By a communication dated 2 August 2002 and sent by registered post the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed. Furthermore, the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC. The appeal therefore has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, second sentence, in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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