DECISION of 11 February 2005

Case Number: T 0236/03 - 3.3.6
Application Number: 92200908.9
Publication Number: 0507402
IPC: C11D 11/04
Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Process for preparing detergent compositions

Patentee:
Unilever N.V., et al

Opponents:
Henkel KGaA
Cognis Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG

Headword:
-

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108, 65(1)

Keyword:
"Missing Statement of Grounds"

Decisions cited:
-

Catchword:
-
Case Number: T 0236/03 - 3.3.6

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.6
of 11 February 2005

Appellants: Unilever N.V.
(Proprietor of the patent) Weena 455
NL-3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)
Unilever PLC
Unilever House, Blackfriars
London EC4P 4BQ/GB

Representative: Elliott, Peter William
Unilever PLC
Unilever Intellectual Property Group
Colworth House
Sharnbrook
Bedford, MK44 1LQ (GB)

Respondents: Henkel KGaA
(Opponent) VTP (Patente)
D-40191 Düsseldorf (DE)

Representative: -

(Opponent) Cognis Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG
Henkelstraße 67
D-40589 Düsseldorf (DE)

Representative: Fiesser, Gerold Michael
Patentanwälte
Kahlhöfer Neumann
Herzog Fiesser
Postfach 26 02 32
D-80059 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted 19 December 2002 revoking European patent No. 0507402 pursuant to Article 102(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:
Chairman: P. Krasa
Members: P. Ammendola
U. J. Tronser
Summary of Facts and Submissions

The appeal contests the decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted 19 December 2002, revoking the European Patent No. 0 507 402 pursuant to Article 102(1) EPC.

The Appellant (Patentee) filed a Notice of Appeal on 14 February 2003 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No Statement of Grounds of Appeal was filed. The Notice of Appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

By a communication dated 2 September 2003 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months and attention was drawn to the possibility of filing a request for re-establishment of rights under Article 122 EPC.

No answer has been given within the given time limit to the Registry's communication.
Reasons for the Decision

As no written Statement setting out the Grounds of Appeal has been filed and as the Notice of Appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds of Appeal according to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 65(1) EPC in conjunction with Article 108 EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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