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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office dated 11 February 2003, revoking the European patent No. 0640 828 pursuant to Article 102(1) EPC.

The Appellant (Patent Proprietor) filed a notice of appeal on 16 April 2003 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No statement of grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication dated 24 July 2003 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been received within the given time limit to the Registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC)
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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