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Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 09 May 2007 refusing European application No. 03733697.1 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.
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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 9 May 2007 refusing the European Patent application No. 03 733 697.1.

The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 6 July 2007 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No statement of grounds was filed.

II. By a communication dated 13 November 2007 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal is to be expected to be rejected as inadmissible.

The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

Attention was also drawn to Article 122 EPC.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

1. The notice of appeal filed on 6 July 2007 contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

2. As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as...
inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, third sentence, in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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