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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the interlocutory decision of the Opposition Division of European Patent Office dated 26 September 2007, concerning maintenance of European patent No. 913 215 in amended form.

The Appellant (Patent Proprietor) filed a notice of appeal on 6 December 2007 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication dated 12 March 2008, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no statement of grounds has been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. The Appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the ground of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC 1973, Rule 101(1) EPC 2000).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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