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refusing European patent application
No. 03723624.7 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.
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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 7 September 2009 refusing European patent application No. 03723624.7.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 4 November 2009 and paid the appeal fee on 5 November 2009.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

II. In a communication dated 18 March 2010, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.

III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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