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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal against the decision of the examining division, dated 4 July 2011, whereby the European patent application No. 03799870.5 was refused.

The appeal was filed on 2 September 2011, and the appeal fee was paid on the same day.

No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit set by Article 108 EPC.

II. By a communication, dated 28 December 2011, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the appellant was informed that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that, therefore, it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal does not contain any statements that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal according to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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