Case Number: T 1499/12 - 3.2.04
Application Number: 01925195.8
Publication Number: 1274934
IPC: F02M 61/18

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention: Deposit control in fuel injector nozzles

Applicant: ORBITAL ENGINE COMPANY (AUSTRALIA) PTY. LTD.

Opponent: -

Headword: -

Relevant legal provisions: EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword: "Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited: -

Catchword: -
Case Number: T 1499/12 - 3.2.04

Decision of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.04 of 1 October 2012

Appellant: ORBITAL ENGINE COMPANY (AUSTRALIA) PTY. LTD. 4 Whipple Street Balcatta Western Australia 6021 (AU)

Representative: Lerwill, John A.A. Thornton & Co. 235 High Holborn London, WC1V 7LE (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 11 January 2012 refusing European patent application No. 01925195.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:
Chairman: A. de Vries
Members: P. Petti C. Heath
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office concerning refusal of European patent application No. 01925195.8. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery on 11 January 2012.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 12 March 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed.

II. By a communication dated 9 July 2012 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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