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Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 23 January 2013 rejecting the opposition filed against European
Composition of the Board:

Chairman               W. Sieber
Members:               M. O. Müller
                       E. Kossonakou
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The present appeal was filed by the opponent (appellant) against the decision of the opposition division to reject the opposition against European patent No. 0 871 567.

II. With letter dated 4 May 2016, the proprietor (respondent) noted that the term of the patent had lapsed in January 2016.

III. With communication of 18 May 2016, the appellant was asked pursuant to Rule 84(1) in conjunction with Rule 100(1) EPC to inform the board within two months from notification of the communication whether it requested a continuation of the appeal proceedings.

IV. The appellant did not reply to the board's communication within the two-months time limit.

Reasons for the Decision

1. Pursuant to Rule 84(1) EPC in conjunction with Rule 100(1) EPC, proceedings are not continued after the European patent has lapsed in all the contracting states, unless there is a request to that end by the opponent/appellant filed within two months from notification of the lapse.

2. Since in the present case no such request was filed, the proceedings are terminated.
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

M. Cañueto Carbajo W. Sieber

Decision electronically authenticated