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Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 17 April 2015 refusing European patent application No. 09715349.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.
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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal lies from the decision of the examining division to refuse the European patent application No. 09 715 349.8. The decision was notified on 17 April 2015.

II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal against said decision by letter dated 17 June 2015 and paid the prescribed appeal fee on the same day.

III. No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

IV. In a communication dated 6 October 2015 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been received and that the appeal should be expected to be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication which reached the addressee on 12 October 2015.

V. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.
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