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Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 6 November 2015.

II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 23 December 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. In the notice of appeal, oral proceedings under Article 116 EPC were requested. However, no statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit set by Article 108 EPC.

III. By communication of 29 April 2016, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the
appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC). Since the appeal is inadmissible, none of the requests in the notice of appeal, including the request for oral proceedings, can be considered.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:
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