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DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03
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Appellant: Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.
(Patent Proprietor)
Huawei Administration Building
Bantian
Longgang District
Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129 (CN)

Representative: Körber, Martin Hans
Mitscherlich PartmbB
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte
Sonnenstrasse 33
80331 München (DE)

Respondent: James Poole Limited
(Opponent)
One Southampton Row
London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Representative: Brunner, John Michael Owen
Carpmaels & Ransford LLP
One Southampton Row
London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 13 June 2016 revoking European patent No. 2202934 pursuant to Article 101(3)(b) EPC.

Composition of the Board:
Chair          K. Bengi-Akyürek
Members:       K. Schenkel
              R. Romandini
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal of the patent proprietor (appellant) lies from the decision of the opposition division revoking the present European patent.

II. By a communication pursuant to Rule 100(2) EPC dated 15 January 2020, the board informed the appellant that the European patent had lapsed in all the designated Contracting States and that, pursuant to Rule 84(1) EPC, the appeal proceedings would be discontinued, unless a request for continuation was filed within two months from notification of the board's communication.

III. No request for continuation of the appeal proceedings was received from the appellant.

Reasons for the Decision

1. Pursuant to Rule 84(1) EPC in conjunction with Rule 100(1) EPC, appeal proceedings may be continued after the European patent has lapsed, if the opponent files a request to this effect within two months of a communication informing it of the lapse (see, inter alia, decisions T 329/88 of 22 June 1993; T 949/09 of 17 October 2012 and T 480/13 of 5 November 2014).

2. This provision applies by analogy to situations where the patent proprietor is the sole appellant (see e.g. T 708/01, Reasons 1). In the present case, the patent proprietor has not filed any request for continuation. As a consequence, the appeal proceedings are to be terminated.
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar:  The Chair:
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